Primary vitreoretinal lymphoma: recent advances and literature review
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Abstract: Primary vitreoretinal lymphoma is a rare B-cell intraocular malignancy, commonly considered as a subset of primary central nervous system (CNS) lymphoma. Brain dissemination occurs in 60–90% of patients and it is the cause of the high mortality and morbidity of the disease. PVRL involves the vitreous, the retina, and the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). The majority of patients complain about hazy vision and floaters occurring for several months. PVRL commonly masquerades as posterior uveitis, with a misleading temporary response to steroids, responsible for its late diagnosis. Fundoscopy and advanced imaging tests such as optical coherence tomography (OCT), fluorescein angiography (FA) and fundus autofluorescence help in the diagnosis, as different peculiar findings have been described. Nevertheless, cytology and immunohistochemistry of vitreous samples are required to confirm PVRL diagnosis. Vitreous and aqueous interleukin analysis (IL-6/IL10 ratio) and mutated gene detection (MYD88 L265P) have recently demonstrated high reliability. Several treatments are available for PVRL, including methotrexate (MTX) and rituximab intravitreal (IV) injections, radiation therapy and systemic chemotherapy. However, due the rarity and the complexity of the disease, literature about vitreoretinal lymphoma is limited and definite guidelines are lacking. The aim of this review is to highlight crucial points and recent advances useful for the diagnosis, management and treatment. Indeed recently, novel imaging tools in conjunction with new molecular diagnostic techniques have helped in distinguish typical vitreoretinal lymphoma features. Furthermore, we present different experience on treatment and the available data on follow up. Herein, we try to address the lack of univocal consensus in management of patients with vitreoretinal lymphoma and the desperate need of multicenter studies to define the optimal management for PVRL, especially when CNS involvement has developed.
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Introduction

Primary vitreoretinal lymphoma (PVRL) is a rare form of intraocular malignancy, considered a particular subset of central nervous system (CNS) lymphoma. PVRL represents one of the most challenging ocular conditions to manage for the clinician, due to the lack of standardized guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up (1-6). Furthermore, due to its rarity, it is often misdiagnosed, resulting in
several months or years of diagnostic delay (7). Clinical findings are rarely specific, masquerading as posterior or intermediate uveitis of other etiologies. However recently, novel eye imaging modalities like widefield color fundus photography, fluorescein angiography (FA) and optical coherence tomography (OCT) have allowed to identify typical lymphoma features. Indeed, identifying early signs of PVRL is of paramount importance to decrease the likely of CNS involvement which account for the high mortality rate of the disease (1,5-7).

Diagnosis of certainty requires invasive vitreoretinal biopsy. However, cytology specimens have been shown to generate false negative results in a consistent percentage of analyses. The causes for such a high rate of false negatives include the paucity and fragility of lymphomatous cells in the sample, and the cytopathologist experience (8). Recently, new techniques have been established to increase the predictive value of PVRL diagnosis. One of them is the detection of the mutation L265P in the gene MYD88 (highly specific for large B cells lymphomas) in ocular fluids like the aqueous and vitreous humor, which has represented a useful tool in the identification of PVRL (9).

Also, therapy regimens pose a significant challenge, as PVRL is likely to spread to CNS during the course of the ocular disease. Among local and systemic treatments, no defined guidelines exist regarding which chemotherapy agent should be used either for intravitreal (IV) or systemic use, as well as when to choose radiotherapy of the orbit (10-12). Tumor recurrence within the eye is frequent, and the survival depends on CNS involvement. The reported mortality rate ranges between 9% and 81% in different follow-up periods, and the survival time is 12–35 months (13-15). The aim of this review is to summarize the most recent and useful tools, techniques and regimes for the diagnosis, management and treatment of patients with PVRL.

Classification and nomenclature

Intraocular lymphoma (IOL) is a rare malignancy that can affect every tissue within the eye with different morphologic, immunophenotypic, genetic and clinical features (1). IOL can be divided into primary IOL (PIOL), which is a particular subset of primary CNS lymphoma, and secondary IOL (SIOL) arising from a systemic lymphoma, outside the CNS. The most common form of PIOL by far is PVRL, that involves the vitreous, the retina, and the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) (16). This condition can present as an isolated entity or develop before, after, or concurrently with brain lymphomatous involvement. Around 60–90% of PVRLs involve the brain subsequently, while 15–20% of patients with primary central nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL) will develop PVRL later (17). The majority of PVRL is of B-cell origin. However, T-cell lymphomas and T-cell rich lymphomas can also develop within the eye (18-20). SIOL most commonly involves the uvea, especially the choroid. Conversely, secondary involvement of the vitreous, retina, and RPE by systemic lymphoma is extremely rare and can mimic the features of PVRL (21). Whether the eye is the primary site of the lymphoma or becomes affected after CNS involvement, the intraocular findings are similar, as well as are similar the ophthalmic features of a primary or secondary vitreoretinal lymphoma.

PCNSL is a lymphoma that originates in the brain, spinal cord or leptomeninges, usually of diffuse large B-cells (DLBCL), non-Hodgkin, type. It accounts for 4–6% of primary brain tumors and 1–2% of extranodal lymphomas (22,23). Rarely PCNSL can be of T-cell origin.

Epidemiology

The incidence of PVRL is hard to be estimated because no central database exists for this disease. The approximate incidence is 0.047 cases per 100,000 people per year (24), representing 4–6% of all brain tumors and less than 1% of all non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas (25,26). The reasons for this increasing incidence are still unknown, rising from 0.027/100,000 in the seventies to 1/100,000 in the nineties. Hypotheses are the increased median age of the population and better diagnostic procedures (27). PCNSL/PVRL more commonly affect patients in the fifth-sixth decades of life. However, few cases of PVRL have been documented affecting childhood and adolescence, particularly in immunocompromised patients (28,29). There seems to be no predilection of sex or race. Few reports suggest women be more affected by PVRL than men, by 2:1 or more (30-32), while other studies suggest that men are more involved (32).

Etiology and pathogenesis

Despite the paucity of tissue specimens from PVRL, some insights into the biology and pathogenesis of PVRL can be extrapolated from the studies conducted in PCNSL (1). These studies demonstrated that PCNSL and PVRL manifest outside CNS very rarely, with the exception of the testis, highlighting the similarities between immunological...
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Two different hypotheses exist about the development of PCNSL and PVRL. One hypothesis is that a malignant B-cell of systemic origin expresses selective molecules to migrate and home to CNS, where a second mutation facilitates the clonal growth. Another hypothesis is the infectious one, for which, in immunosuppressed patients, EBV infection of B-cells results in their immortalization and then the suppressed T-cell function leads the EBV-infected lymphocytes to evolve towards malignancy (34). Furthermore, Toxoplasma gondii infection was related to the development of B-cell lymphomas because its DNA was found in vitreous samples of PVRL patients (35).

PVRL cells are usually positive for CD20 and CD79a in immunostaining, both considered pan B-cell markers, and negative for CD3, a T cell marker. Typically, depending on the differentiation stage of the malignant B-cell, there are two groups of DLBCL, with different immunophenotypes and gene expression profiles: germinal center B-cell (GCB) type and activated B-cell (ABC) type. Considering the mutational pattern and the gene expression profile, PCNSL belong to ABC-type (4). Regarding PVRL, many discrepancies have been reported and consensus is lacking on considering it of ABC-type. The high frequency of t(14;18) chromosomal translocation, with the consequent high expression of Bcl-2 due to IgH-Bcl-2 rearrangement, suggested malignant cells in PVRL to originate from GCB cell (36). Moreover, gene expression suggests a pattern similar—but not identical—to GCB signature. On the other hand, Coupland et al. have determined the immunophenotype to be likely to ABC-type, with the positivity of MUM1/IRF4 and the loss of CD10 expression, according to a late germinal center differentiation stage origin. To note, however, that myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MYD88) and CD79B mutations are rare in GCB type but very frequent in PVRL (37).

MYD88 is a gene discovered in the 1990s as a primary differentiation response factor in myeloid precursors. It plays a key role in toll-like receptor signaling and it has been found mutated (L26P) at a high frequency in PVRL samples. Therefore, MYD88 L265P is considered a typical tract of PVRL mutational signature. In addition, a variable percentage of PVRL samples showed mutations in CD79b, involved in BCR signaling cascade, and other genes such as PIM1, IGLL5, BTG1 and CDKN2A (38). This mutational pattern suggested that Nf-kb pathway hyperactivation could play a pathogenetic role and put the basis to new therapeutic approaches. More than 90% of malignant cells test positive for BCL-2/IGH t(14;18) translocation. In addition, two genes group with different tendencies of gaining (BCL6, MYD88, MYC, CD79A, PTEN) and losing (CDKN2A, IGH, PTPRK, CD79B and BCL-2) copy number have been described (39).

In order to provide further insights on PVRL ontogenesis and its relationship with PCNSL, immunoglobulin genes have been sequenced. In PVRL samples, an overwhelming restricted immunoglobulin gene repertoire was highlighted, with a strong recurrence of IGHV4-34 gene (64%) (40). This consideration distinguishes PVRL from PCNSL, as a sub-group with its own peculiar characteristics. The particularly restricted immunoglobulins repertoire after somatic hypermutation suggests that antigen selection process is a major driver in lymphomagenesis and makes stronger the concept that PVRL malignant cells originate from a late differentiation stage in germinal center. Besides, IGHV4-34 antibodies were tested in large scale protein microarray and recognized several proteins, displaying a polyreactive behavior (41). However, the antigen driver has not yet been found.

Some chemokines involved in leukocyte trafficking, proliferation, and adhesion have been demonstrated to be ectopically expressed by retinal pigmented epithelium in PCNSL/PVRL. Some of them are CXCL13 and CXCL12. Chemokine receptors for CXCL13 and CXCL12—respectively CXCR5 and CXCR4—were found as well on malignant B-cells (42). The expression of these chemokines in immunologic sanctuaries, like the eye or the brain, contributes to the passage of lymphomatous cells from the choroidal circulation (i.e., systemic circulation) to the retina through RPE, crossing the blood-retinal barrier.

Other upregulated genes and more expressed molecules include c-myc, Pim-1, interleukin 4, and STAT-6 protein. Different studies have shown that molecular expression is different between different lymphoma subtypes. For example, osteopontin, chitinase or RGS-13 are more expressed in PCNSL, whereas collagen type IV, laminin α-4, and lumican are expressed at higher levels in systemic lymphomas (43).

Also, significantly higher expression of the microRNA miR-17-5p has been demonstrated in PCNSL rather than in nodal and testicular DLBCL (44). Unfortunately,
the mechanism involved in the migration of tumor cells between the eye and the brain that leads to the development of PVRL from PCNSL, and vice versa, remains still unknown. Minezaki et al. carried out a mi-RNA profiling in VRL both in vitreous and in serum samples: different mi-RNAs have been found to be down or upregulated, mainly involving tumorigenesis pathways. At the same time, miR-361-3p has been proposed as a possible novel diagnostic tool, for discriminating VRL from others uveitis (45).

In conclusion, PVRL has been proposed to be an independent sub-type of DLBCL, with peculiar characteristics and gene expression pattern.

Common clinical manifestations

PVRL has been defined a “masquerade syndrome” as it can mimic a variety of ocular diseases, usually intermediate and posterior uveitis. Moreover, clinical manifestations often vary between patients. PVRL is bilateral in 64–83% of the cases and generally asymmetrical (1,21).

The majority of patients complain about hazy vision and floaters occurring for several months. Only a few refer to the ophthalmologist for severe decreased visual acuity. Patients experience improvement of symptoms after corticosteroid therapies, either oral or local, that are often prescribed by the clinician who had misdiagnosed PVRL for uveitis. Mild, nonspecific ocular symptoms and the benefit to corticosteroid therapy explain in part the diagnostic delay; from symptoms onset, there is a diagnostic delay between 6 months to 2 years to reach the definite diagnosis (1,46).

Anterior segment manifestations are present in about 50% of PVRL patients and comprehend granulomatous or non-granulomatous keritic precipitates (Figure 1), few cells and mild flare in the anterior chamber. More rarely, pseudohypopyon and iris/angle infiltration have been described.

Intermediate and posterior manifestations include mild to severe vitritis characterized by cells that organize in clumps, strands, and sheets along vitreous fibrils (Figure 2A). Cells are larger than ordinary inflammatory cells and do not cluster with reactive cells. Retinal manifestations are heterogeneous and may be non-specific. Creamy yellowish infiltrates are the most common features and can be located at the posterior pole or in the peripheral retina (Figure 2B). The most common fundal appearance consists of pin points yellowish lesions named “leopard spots”. Infiltrates can be small, focally located or diffuse, or can be large lesions occupying the entire fundus in advanced stages. In a small number of cases, serpiginous-like or retinitis-like lesions have been reported (17,47). Non-specific manifestations include vasculitis, exudative retinal detachment, RPE atrophy with subretinal fibrosis, disciform scarring at the macula, and optic nerve edema (2,48).

PVRL of T-cell origin presents, overall, with more severe anterior segment inflammation and keritic precipitates usually of granulomatous type, cells and flare in the anterior chamber. Other manifestations include vitritis, inflammatory glaucoma, macular edema and choroidal detachment (49). A myriad of neurological symptoms can develop during the course of the disease due to CNS involvement. They include behavioral changes, alteration of cognitive functions, hemiparesis, ataxia, seizures, and others (50).
Imaging

Multimodal imaging has been considered one of the uttermost recent revolutions in the ophthalmic field. PVRL presents some typical imaging characteristics. However, being a masquerade syndrome, it can resemble other types of posterior segment diseases.

In the majority of cases, fundus autofluorescence (FAF) shows hypo- and hyperautofluorescent areas corresponding to RPE atrophy and lymphomatous infiltration, respectively (Figure 3A). FA has been reported to show several findings, including punctate hyperfluorescent window defects and hypofluorescent lesions (“leopard spot” appearance). On FA, hypofluorescent spots may represent retinal lymphomatous infiltrates which give blockage to the dye, whereas hyperfluorescent spots represent atrophic lesions with window defects through RPE. Other fluorescein findings are perivascular leakage (Figure 3B), papillary leakage, and more rarely macular breakdown of blood-retinal barrier in the form of macular edema. Indocyanine green angiography (ICGA) often shows round clustered hypocianescent lesions corresponding to the areas of hypofluorescence on FA, but it may be completely normal or non-contributory. Other angiographic features are represented by granularity, blockage, and late staining at the level of RPE. OCT is of great aid in the diagnosis of PVRL. In addition, it is of paramount importance in the follow-up to compare retinal findings without the need of an invasive technique and the use of a dye. Focal infiltrations of lymphomatous cells can be found under the RPE-Bruch’s membrane complex or in the subretinal space (Figure 4). Infiltrations appearance can vary, going from subtle RPE hyperreflective motting to focal discrete hyperreflective nodularity under RPE or under the retina, to large hyperreflective lesions that create confluent bands of material under the retina, or solid RPE detachments. RPE detachments can be initially isolated and discrete, but then, with proliferation and spread of

Figure 2 Fundus appearance in vitreoretinal lymphoma. Severe vitreitis in strands (A), and round yellowish peripheral retinal infiltrates (B).

Figure 3 Multimodal imaging in vitreoretinal lymphoma. Fundus autofluorescence showing leopard spot appearance (A), and fluorescein angiography showing severe vasculitis (B).
the disease, they can enlarge developing wide sheets of hyperreflective material below RPE and below the retina. Sub-RPE infiltration has been proposed as a possible marker of intra-ocular tumor recurrence and poorer visual acuity outcome (51). Conversely, there is not a univocal association between sub-RPE infiltration and CNS involvement or overall survival (OS). Dalvin et al. found that sub-RPE infiltrates were related to a lower survival time, compared to their absence (46 and 76 months, respectively) (52).

Other OCT findings include disruption of the photoreceptor ellipsoid zone, exudative retinal detachments with hyporeflective fluid, multiple band of hyperreflective material within the inner retina, and hyperreflective foci in the posterior vitreous. Moreover, vertical hyperreflective lesions extending from the outer to the inner retina have also been described and interpreted as retinal infiltrations (53).

Recently, PVRL has been described with features resembling an infectious retinitis. At fundus examination, retinitis-like lesions appear as yellow-white patches with few retinal hemorrhages and mild vascular occlusion. OCT imaging shows either full-thickness retinitis-like lesions or partial-thickness retinitis from the inner limiting membrane to the outer nuclear layer.

The distinguishing features of PVRL retinitis-like lesions, compared to infectious retinitis (caused by herpes viruses and toxoplasma), are the presence of homogeneous hyperreflective infiltrates in the sub-RPE space and a “rounded roof” appearance. Also, retinal thickness of retinitis-like lesions in PVRL patients is significantly higher compared to infectious retinitis. Conversely, viral retinitis is significantly more associated with anterior uveitis, iris atrophy and fundal lesions with sharp, well-defined edges.

Figure 4 Optical coherence tomography signs of vitreoretinal lymphoma. Intraretinal infiltrates (yellow dotted circle) and sub-retinal and sub-retinal pigment epithelium infiltrates (asterisk) (A). Sub-retinal and sub-retinal pigment epithelium small infiltrates (asterisk) and subretinal larger lymphomatous infiltration (red dotted line) (B). Sub-retinal pigment epithelium infiltrates (blue dotted line) (C).
whereas, toxoplasma lesions are unifocal, had less retinal hemorrhages and more chorioretinal scars (47).

Ultra-widefield imaging (pseudocolor fundus photography, FA, ICGA, and FAF) is an adjunctive tool that aids the clinician in obtaining a panoramic view of the disease features. With pseudocolor wide-field imaging, vitreous can appear characterized by uniform material with non-specific features, by the “string of pearls” pattern (round yellowish clusters of cells organized in lines) and the recently described “aurora borealis” pattern. The aurora borealis pattern was defined as linear opacities and sheets with a myriad of cells uniformly aligned along vitreous fibrils, scattering the light beam, resembling the so-named natural phenomena. Vitreous liquefaction status and syneresis may explain different patterns: a more liquid vitreous usually shows unspecific patterns, while a preserved structure may act as a scaffold for linear disposition of lymphomatous cells in fibrils (aurora borealis or string of pearls) (54) (see Table 1).

B-scan ultrasound is useful to check retinal integrity when the media are opaque, as well as elevated chorioretinal lesions, and optic nerve widening (54).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with gadolinium-based contrast of the brain is imperative for every patient with PVRL, both at the time of the diagnosis and on a regular basis during the follow-up. MRI helps in identifying CNS involvement as well as checking the response of the brain lesions to the treatment. Neurological lesions appear hypodense on T1-weighted and hyperdense on T2-weighted scans with discrete or diffuse borders (55).

Positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) of the brain aids in identifying the activity of both PCNSL and PVRL. PCNSL has an uptake value 2.5 times higher than gray matter and its uptake pattern helps in the differential diagnosis of others intracranial tumors. Moreover, early changes in $^{18}$F-FDG uptake after 3 weeks of chemotherapy has prognostic value, having higher sensitivity than conventional MRI (56,57). Furthermore, whole body PET/CT investigate a possible extra-CNS malignancy with CNS dissemination.

### Diagnosis

PVRL diagnosis cannot be defined without clinical ocular examination and imaging techniques. However, under clinical suspect, the gold standard for definite PVRL diagnosis remains histopathologic examination of the ocular specimens, with demonstration of malignant B lymphocytes in the vitreous or retina, and immunohistochemistry to characterize lymphocyte type and clonality (10,58). Vitreous biopsy is the procedure that is most frequently performed in clinical setting. Conversely, chorioretinal biopsy is confined to challenging or doubtful cases, for example when the vitreous biopsy has not been detrimental and the disease is progressing despite the treatment. Trans pars plana vitrectomy with 25 or 27 G instrumentation is the gold standard. Low vitrector cut rate (600 cuts per minute or less) under air infusion is the preferable technique for diagnostic vitrectomy, to avoid cell damage and globe hypotony. Undiluted sample is collected for cytopathological evaluation (59-61). Apart from the diagnostic purpose, vitrectomy aims at cleaning the vitreous from debris in order to improve visual acuity, becoming a therapeutic procedure, as well. Samples should be transferred immediately to the laboratory for the analysis without fixation, to preserve morphology and immunoreactivity. Alternatively, vitreous samples could be fixed in mild fixative-agents, like herpes/glutamic acid buffer-mediated organic solvent protection effect (HOPE) fixation or Cytolyt (Cytyc) for subsequent ThinPrep slide preparation.

**Table 1** Cytology and molecular diagnosis: gold standard procedures and novel complementary tests for the diagnosis of vitreoretinal lymphoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presence of malignant B-cell lymphocytes in vitreous and retinal samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scanty basophilic cytoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased nucleus: cytoplasm ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypersegmented nuclei with various shape and number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse chromatin pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunohistochemistry in vitreous and retinal samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD19+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD20+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD22+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k/λ ratio &gt;3 or &lt;0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High IL10/IL6 ratio in aqueous and vitreous samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novel gene mutations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYD88L265P in aqueous and vitreous samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD79B in vitreous samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other interleukin expression in vitreous samples (IL35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Formalin fixation should be avoided, because it damages cell morphology and immunoreactivity (62). Overall, diagnosis is challenging due to several factors, including the limited material of the vitreous biopsy and its fragile nature whose management requires particular attention, the low number of neoplastic lymphocytes, the previous treatment with corticosteroids and the skill and experience of the cytopathologist. All these factors result in a high rate of false-negative vitreous biopsies (2). Morphologically, malignant lymphomatous cells are characterized by scanty basophilic cytoplasm, increased nucleus: cytoplasm ratio, hypersegmented nuclei of various shape and number, and a coarse chromatin pattern. The positive predictive value of cytologic evaluation alone is around 31%, and the negative predictive value is approximately 33%, due to the sparse number of cells, necrotic debris, and “contaminating cells” like reactive T-lymphocytes. A particular PVRL-cytokine profile has been reported both in the aqueous and in the vitreous, with a remarkable elevation of IL-10. IL-10, indeed, is an interleukin produced by B-cells and, when found at high levels, is linked to rapid disease progression (38,63-65). However, there is not a defined and commonly accepted threshold for IL-10 levels. IL-10/IL-6 ratio is considered more informative. IL-6 is a cytokine expressed during inflammatory processes like uveitis that may help to distinguish a pure inflammatory process from a masquerade syndrome, like lymphoma. When an elevated IL-10/IL-6 ratio is detected, the positive predictive value for PVRL is 95% and the negative predictive value is 71% (10-12). Nevertheless, a low IL-10/IL-6 ratio does not automatically exclude IOL. Costopoulos et al. showed a limited sensibility of the IL-10/IL-6 ratio. Thus, they developed and proposed a new score—the Interleukin Score for intra-Ocular Lymphoma Diagnosis (ISOLD)—valid for both aqueous and vitreous samples. This score is given from IL10 and IL6 concentrations, and its value could predict the probability of having PVRL. For aqueous humor, the ISOLD formula is: \[-12.871+5.533\times \log(\text{IL-10}+1)−1.614\times \log(\text{IL-6}+1).\] For vitreous, the ISOLD formula is: \[-12.208+6.648\times \log(\text{IL-10}+1)−1.669\times \log(\text{IL-6}+1).\] When the ISOLD score value is <−4.6, they reported a >99% probability for a patient not to have lymphoma. Conversely, a value >+4.6 was strongly indicative for it. In that study, only 6% of PVRL patients totaled a score in the “grey zone”, ranging from −4.6 to +4.6 with a less strong diagnostic capability (66). ISOLD score has already been validated and it is a valid tool for the differential diagnosis between lymphoma and uveitis (67).

Takeda et al. studied different interleukin expression in vitreous: IL-10, IL-20, IL-22, IL-27, IL-35 and soluble IL-22 receptor α were significantly different in VRL patients compared to those patients with different non-infective uveitis. Moreover, high level of IL-35 was associated with poor outcome, highlighting a putative prognostic role (68). Cells phenotyping by their surface markers is conducted by immunocytological techniques and flow cytometry, which use respectively antibodies directed to a specific marker, and a cell sorter that separates cells in a fluid medium. Malignant B-cells stain positively for CD19, CD20, and CD22 with restricted expression of either k or λ chain. A ratio of k:λ >3 or <0.6 is indicative of monoclonality, as the normal ratio in inflammatory conditions, like uveitis, is around 1 (38,69). Conversely, T-cell population stains positively for CD3 and CD4. Reasons for false-negative results include B-cell lymphomas that are too poorly differentiated to express CD20 or light chains on the cell surface, or large numbers of reactive T-cells that may obscure the malignant B-cell component. In this latter case, the diagnosis is often delayed because the B-cell phenotype is masked by reactive inflammation (18). PCR (polymerase chain reaction) amplification can be used if malignant cells are too scanty in vitreous specimens. It has been used to detect gene rearrangements of the complementarity defining sequences in the variable region of the heavy chain of B-cells (CDR3) and translocations of bcl-2 proto-oncogene. For T-cell lymphomas, the primers target the T-cell receptor gamma (TCR). Recently, MYD88 L265P mutation has been found with a high prevalence in DLBCL, and in vitreous specimens from PVRL (70). In addition, Yonese et al. reported CD79B mutation in 35% of PVRL vitreous samples. CD79B encodes the Ig-β, a structural protein in B-cell receptor which plays a key role in BCR signaling (71). Aqueous tap, as well, has been considered a viable diagnostic procedure for the detection of MYD88 L265P mutation. Being a simple and safer procedure compared to diagnostic vitrectomy, aqueous tap has been proposed as an adjunctive and complementary diagnostic strategy for early detection of PVRL. Moreover, detecting a gene that can be found even in the absence of intact cells overcomes all the drawbacks of the cytology analysis.

Aqueous sampling can be performed either in the operating theatre or just under direct visualization at the slit lamp. After topical anesthesia with drops, a 29-gauge insulin syringe is inserted at the peripheral cornea at the temporal side, and approximately 0.3 mL of aqueous humor is collected. MYD88 L265P mutation analysis is performed extracting DNA from the aqueous sample using the
The codon 265 mutation assay is performed using the amplification-refractory mutation system polymerase chain reaction approach. The further pyrosequencing analysis is done by using PyroMark Gold Q96 (Qiagen) reagents with PyroMark Q96ID. It is noteworthy that a strong concordance of positive results between vitreous samples and aqueous samples from the same eye was demonstrated. The main limit in performing multiple analyses on the same sample is represented by its small volume. However, a recent technique, the metagenomic deep sequencing, bypasses this limit and allows to detect mutations using a very small volume (20–50 µL) of fluid with an unbiased approach. Its use may help not only for PVRL diagnosis, but could provide prognostic elements like mutations that confer chemo-resistance or chemo-sensitivity (70,72). Moreover, MYD88 mutation has been supposed as a viable tool during therapy for disease monitoring, since mutation negativization accompanies clinical improvement (73) (see Table 2).

Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) evaluation should be performed in every case of PCNSL suspect. Around 25% of patients with identifiable lesions on MRI will have positive CSF cytology (74). Extensive blood examinations should be performed to rule out other causes of infectious and non-infectious uveitis. A complete blood count, HIV and EBV serology are useful tests to understand the systemic clinical status of the patient.

### Treatment

Several local and systemic therapies are available as treatments for PVRL, but the optimal therapy has not been defined. The crucial point in defining the therapeutic decision is CNS involvement. Thus, PVRL diagnosis must be followed by gadolinium-based MRI of the brain. Treatment goals in PVRL without PCNSL are both the control of intraocular disease and the prevention of CNS dissemination, which occurs in 60–90% of patients. It is still debated to give or not systemic treatment to patients with isolated PVRL, and predictive factors for CNS dissemination have not definitely been found (4). De la Fuente et al. treated PVRL patients with bilateral radiation therapy followed by systemic MTX and recorded that the incidence of CNS spread (37.5% with a median of 68 months follow-up) (46) was lower than reported from other studies (from 56% to 85%) (1,75). The rationale of this management is that several PVRL patients could have undetectable occult CNS involvement that cannot be managed with local therapies only, but they require systemic chemotherapy to avoid macroscopic CNS dissemination (45). Baron et al. gave temozolomide monotherapy in relapsing or refractory disease or not eligible to high-dose methotrexate (HD-MTX) patients, showing low toxicity and good overall response (76).

Other studies have shown a remarkable benefit combining IV and systemic MTX in the PFS, but not in the OS. On the other hand, Riemens et al. did not show superiority of this combined strategy versus local treatment alone (10). A study by Hormigo et al. showed a significantly longer median survival rate in PVRL treated with prophylactic chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy compared to the group treated after CNS signs had developed (5).

There is a univocal consensus about the need of novel instruments, both clinical and non-clinical, to stratify the risk of CNS involvement in PVRL patients. The main goal is to identify that group of patients who would receive a benefit from systemic therapy. The International Primary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Ocular examination and imaging: most common ocular and imaging features in patients with vitreoretinal lymphoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fundoscopy/color fundus photography</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitreitis (vitreous sheets, aurora borealis pattern, string of pearls pattern)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowish retinal lesions of different shapes and size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optical coherence tomography</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-retinal pigment epithelium infiltrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subretinal infiltrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical hyperreflective lesions in the retina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinitis-like appearance (full thickness or partial thickness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Autofluorescence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punctate hyperautofluorescent and hypoautofluorescent lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fluorescein angiography</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punctate hyperfluorescent and hypofluorescent lesions (leopard spot appearance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perivascular leakage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary leakage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indocyanine green angiography</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round clustered hypocianescent lesions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Qiamp DNA Mini-Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany).
CNS Lymphoma Collaborative Group suggested high doses of systemic chemotherapy along with IV chemotherapy and/or ocular radiotherapy, even in the absence of PCNSL, in 2011 (1). A study by Hashida et al. demonstrated that prophylactic systemic chemotherapy, despite not inhibiting, significantly delays the onset of CNS disease (77). However, there is still no consensus about treating isolated PVRL with systemic chemotherapy to prevent CNS involvement.

Local therapies include radiotherapy and IV chemotherapy. There are no that compare these two treatment options, and whether to use one or the other as first-line therapy. The choice should be made based on disease laterality, patient preference, and other practical considerations. External-beam radiotherapy (EBRT) has been recommended for patients with PVRL without CNS involvement. It typically consists of a total of 35–40 Gy delivered in approximately 15 fractions of 2 Gy each, from opposed lateral beams to include both eyes. With this dosage, recurrence and radiation retinopathy rates have been reported to be very low. Cataract formation, that is a common complication, can be easily managed surgically. Whole-brain plus eye radiotherapy can be added if a patient with CNS involvement had failed systemic chemotherapy or is debilitated to undergo aggressive therapies. However, complications such as decreased cognitive functions, ataxia, or even death should be taken into consideration (78).

IV treatment consists of a delivery of a drug into the eye by means of an injection. In PVRL, variable doses and regimens of MTX and/or rituximab injections have been proposed. The most common therapeutic scheme consists of IV MTX administered at a dose of 400 µg in 0.1 mL twice a week for four weeks—induction phase, then once a week for eight weeks—consolidation phase, then once a month for nine months—maintenance phase, for a total of twenty-five injections. With this treatment scheme, recurrences have been shown to be very rare and only a few complications have been described, like corneal epitheliopathy and transient rise in intraocular pressure (79). Alternatively, IV rituximab is administered at the dose of 1 mg/0.1 mL for four weeks, repeating the course based on clinical response. Zhou et al. proposed a reduced frequency IV MTX injections, switching directly from induction to maintenance phase. They observed a lower risk of corneal epitheliopathy without changes in therapeutic effects (80). Giuffré et al. used a combined IV treatment alternating MTX and rituximab for 4 weeks, and then every two weeks for 3 months, with good clinical outcomes (17). In a study by Cincinelli et al., 44% of patients showed a complete disappearance of PVRL, while 56% displayed partial or no remission after three injections of rituximab (81). New biological agents like PDL-1 inhibitors, nivolumab and BTK-inhibitors are under study as treatments for PCNSL (79).

Regarding systemic therapy, there are two stages of treatment: induction and consolidation. Induction treatment includes HD-MTX (alone or combined) (82–84). Several studies have demonstrated an optimal response to MTX in PVRL with CNS or systemic involvement. Rates of remission up to 72% and up to 94–100% have been shown when used alone or in combination with other therapies, respectively. After the International Extranodal Lymphoma Study Group (IELSG) 32 trial, the use of MATRix combination (MTX, cytarabine, thiotepa and rituximab) has been defined as new standard chemotherapy for patients <70 years as first-line treatment for PCNSL. The complete remission rate at 30 months in the group treated with MATRix regimen was around 50% compared to only 23% in the group treated with MTX and cytarabine, and 30% in the group treated with MTX, cytarabine and rituximab (85). In elderly patients, HD-MTX was combined with different cytotoxic agents leading to better results than HD-MTX alone. However, due to the low number of data, there is no evidence that a specific combination regimen is better than the others (86). Whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) could be combined to MATRix protocol, but the risk of cytotoxicity and a poor quality of life must be considered (87). Thus, WBRT is an option in rescue or palliative treatment. In addition, intrathecal therapy—often rituximab—should be considered in those patients with a poor response to HD-MTX or not fit to receive a minimum dose of MTX 3 g/m² (88).

After HD-MTX induction therapy, 60% of patients usually achieve complete response. Given the risk of disease relapse, they then need consolidation therapy. Consolidation therapy includes WBRT, additional chemotherapy or high-dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT). IESLG-32 trial showed no significant differences in OS between WBRT and thiotepa-based myeloablative therapy followed by ASCT, but highlighted different side effects namely neurotoxicity and myelotoxicity, respectively (88). In case of relapsing disease, there are different treatment options. HD-MTX could be repeated if there was a good response during the induction therapy. Other options include thiotepa-based chemotherapy followed by ASCT, intrathecal cytarabine, high dose cytarabine and
pemetrexed, lenalidomide, pomalidomide or ibrutinib. Ibrutinib has been investigated as a possible option in relapsing and refractory PCNSL and PVRL as inhibits BTK, a kinase involved in BCR signaling, a proliferative driver in lymphomas. Ibrutinib showed therapeutic benefit, but a clear correlation between mutational profile and ibrutinib sensitivity has not been established (89). The presence of MYD88 L265P mutation has been demonstrated to confer a potential sensitivity to ibrutinib in Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia, and CD79b mutation seems to confer drug resistance (90) (see Table 3).

### Prognosis

The presence of sub-RPE infiltrates has been proposed as a negative prognostic factor in PVRL for OS, PFS and visual outcome, but the data did not reach statistical significance (51). At the same time IL-35 vitreous level seems to play a prognostic role in VRL, but again, a small number of patients has been considered in the study (68). The IELSG score is the most important prognostic score in PCNSL; therefore, it is limited to PVRL with cerebral involvement. This score considers LDH, CSF proteins, age greater than 60 years, lymphoma location (basal ganglia, periventricular zone, brainstem, cerebellum) and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (84,91).

PVRL is a masquerade syndrome and its diagnosis is often delayed. This may partially explain the high rate of CNS involvement and the low survival rate in long-time follow-up. PVRL with associated PCNSL has poor ocular and “quoad vitam” prognosis for the intrinsic aggressive nature of the disease. The rate of mortality is difficult to attest existing variable reports in the literature due to different patient populations, treatment regimens, and follow-up. Mortality ranges from 9% to 81%, and median survival time goes from 12 to 35 months in different studies (13,20,30,92).

### Conclusions

Vitreoretinal lymphoma is the most common type of ocular masquerade syndrome. Its diagnosis and treatment are challenging and no guidelines exist. It is crucial to send patients with PVRL suspect to tertiary referral hospital that manage a conspicuous number of patients affected by this malignancy. It is equally important to collect as much data as possible from these tertiary referral hospitals, to share knowledge and improve our understanding of the disease. Clinical suspicion is essential in case of posterior uveitis with suggestive lymphoma findings and temporary response to corticosteroids. It is advisable nowadays to perform a complete multimodal imaging with widefield color fundus photography, autofluorescence, FA, ICGA and OCT to rule out other inflammatory conditions and support the suspect of vitreoretinal lymphoma. A high level of expertise...  

---

**Table 3** Therapy. Local and systemic treatment for patients with vitreoretinal lymphoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>External-beam radiotherapy</strong> (35–40 Gy in 15 fractions of 2 Gy each)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intravitreal (IV) treatment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV methotrexate (400 μg/0.1 mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction: twice a week for four weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation: once a week for eight weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance: once a month for nine months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV rituximab (1 mg/0.1 mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a week for four weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat the course based on clinical response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternating IV methotrexate and rituximab:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction: once a week for 4 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation: every two weeks for 3 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systemic treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Induction therapy:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High dose methotrexate-based (HD-MTX) therapy (MATRx if patient ≤70 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider rituximab intrathecal therapy in case of poor response to HD-MTX or if MTX dose is less than 3 g/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consolidation therapy:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole brain radiation therapy; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relapsed or recurrent disease:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiopeta-based chemotherapy followed by ASCT or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrathecal cytarabine or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High dose cytarabine and pemetrexed, lenalidomide, pomalidomide or ibrutinib</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCNSL, primary central nervous system lymphoma.
is required when analyzing these pictures as a misleading diagnosis could delay proper treatment with negative implications on visual outcome and disease progression.

Despite cytology and immunohistopathology of vitreous and retinal specimens is the gold standard for the diagnosis, it requires an invasive procedure with high rates of false negatives. We recommend to perform always bilateral aqueous tap, which is an easy to perform technique, in order to detect MYD88 265P and then proceed with the more invasive vitrectomy procedure.

The best therapeutic option for vitreoretinal lymphoma has not yet been defined. While several studies exist regarding PCNSL therapeutic approach with a clear efficacy of HD-MTX based systemic therapy, just few data are available on vitreoretinal lymphoma without CNS involvement. We recommend to treat isolated vitreoretinal lymphoma with orbital radiotherapy or IV injections depending on the general conditions of the patient, requiring the injections more visits to the hospital. Unluckily, it is not yet clear if systemic therapy given to patients with only intraocular malignancy could delay or prevent the onset of CNS disease.

Finally, prognostic factors and predictive features for CNS involvement should be identified. That could allow a proper risk stratification and a better management of the disease, improving patients’ life quality and survival.

A desperate need for shared information and definite guidelines exists among ophthalmologists and hematologists. We are aware that future large clinical trials are required to assess the best management of this potentially fatal disease.
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